11. The events are not independent, so *P*(red second | red first) is not the same as *P*(red first).

 $P(\text{red second and red first}) = P(\text{red first}) \cdot P(\text{red second}|\text{red first})$ 

$$=\frac{3}{10}\cdot\frac{2}{9}\approx 0.067$$

- 14. 0.6 or 60%
- **15.** 0.52 or 52%
- 16. 0.53 or 53%
- **17.** 0.45 or 45%
- **18.** They are dependent events.

 $P(\text{Game Design}|\text{Sophomore}) \approx 53\%$  and P(Game Design) = 50%, so  $P(\text{Game Design}|\text{Sophomore}) \neq P(\text{Game Design})$ . Therefore, they are not independent events.

- **19.** 0.08 or 8%
- **20. 4**5%
- 21. dependent
- **22.** No. Use the conditional probability formula to find the probability that a patient taking a placebo improved.

 $P(\text{Improved} | \text{Placebo}) = \frac{P(\text{Improved and Placebo})}{P(\text{Placebo})}$  $= \frac{\frac{47}{200}}{\frac{82}{200}}$  $\approx 0.57$ 

 $P(\text{Improved} | \text{Medication}) \approx 45\%$  while  $P(\text{Improved} | \text{Placebo}) \approx 57\%$ . Patients taking the medication showed improvement less frequently than patients taking the placebo.

- **23.** 0.225 or 22.5%
- **28.** (C)  $\frac{9}{25}$